Clement Levallois, 2023

License: CC BY 4.0

# **Roadmap for a thesis**

### in Social Sciences and Humanities

visit https://seinecle.github.io/methodology for additional resources



either you are already familiar with it, or you are able and willing to invest efforts in learning at least the basic principles of it.

"content analysis" is circled in red as it is a very popular methodology in the

social sciences and in the humanities, which can be learned and implemented in the time span of a thesis.



**Research** question

Х

methodology

State how your research question will be addressed by your methodology

Typically: list the hypotheses you will test and **why** do you expect to verify them. Or list the variables and the relations that you will test or measure, and **why** do you expect these relations to be meaningful.

Typically: what do you anticipate your exploration will uncover, and how do you think the methodology will **specifically** help in these efforts.

In the case of a content analysis, at this step you would explain why you choose to collect interviews rather than news articles or else, and you would state the concepts and categories that you will use to analyze your content.



#### Collect your data

Collecting data is **not just** downloading a file, recording an interview or querying a database.

**You must take extra care** for the quality of the data, which depends on the type of data you collect. Make sure you document all the steps you take.

Then, **you must make sure** it is properly stored (are you complying with regulations on privacy? and more) and cleaned (did you remove faulty values if any, did you add metadata, etc.)



This step is highly dependent on the methodology you have chosen. Please refer to the fact sheets for each methodology for guides and resources: <u>https://seinecle.github.io/methodology/</u>

ving roculte
VILLE LESUILS

9

6

#### Stating a result and its significance

What is a "result" is once again dependent on the methodology you have chosen. It can be "this hypothesis is accepted", or "we find an effect of this variable on this one", or



"we find 5 key topics in this collection of documents, which are ..."

**Common to all methodologies is the way these results should be framed**. In all cases, you should explain and trace back to some of the steps described above:

- step 6: why is this result contributing to answering your research question?

- **step 4** (optional but so useful!): why is this result confirming, extending or modifying the model of the state of the art?

- **step 2**: if this research question is better understood thanks to your results, how is the research topic better understood now?

# Limits, extensions and conclusion



## Acknowledging limits, pointing to possible extensions and concluding

This last part offers a last moment for reflection:

- what were the limits of you work? Typically, limits derive from the methodology that was used (if you **explored**, you didn't **test** and vice and versa). Limits also stem from the scope of your study, and the resources at your disposal: what were interesting aspects of the research topic that you were unable to investigate, due to limited time and resources?

- what are new perspectives traced by your work? Answering a question usually opens new ones! These can also be your concluding words.